UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,418	05/13/2005	Edouard S.P Bouvier	60009US(49991)	4955
	7590 05/15/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5587	⁷ 4	ARNOLD, ERNST V		
BOSTON, MA	02203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/516,418	BOUVIER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ERNST V. ARNOLD	1616		

	ERNST V. ARNOLD	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); eer form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	E below); lucing or simplifying th					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.174. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor		,				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-5,7-9,11-30,33 and 123. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 31, 32, 65, 113 and AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ided below or appended.	be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Ernst V Arnold/ Examiner, Art Unit 1616						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant amended claim 1 with the new limitation: "such that said enhancement produces a favorable chemical property selected from the group consisting of more complete reaction, increased efficiency, increased yield, increased rate, accelerated chemical digestion and increased utility. "The Examiner now has to consider the scope of each new "chemical property" with respect to what is taught in the art especially in view of what may be inherent or intrinsic to the prior art. While Applicant asserts in the Remarks that there is no overlap between the prior art and the instantly pending claims, the Examiner still needs to ascertain this. Applicant notes that Lee "might suggest an increase in solubility of denatured proteins using a surfactant" and does this increase in solubility read on the instantly claimed "more complete reaction" or "increased efficiency". This requires further search and consideration because these terms are broad and the Examiner needs to re-consider if these terms distinguish the invention or overlap with the art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has amended the claims with a new limitation not previously presented which requires further search and consideration.